

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/466,921 06/06/95 ALIZON М 03459.0008-0 **EXAMINER** HM22/0320 FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW PARKIN, J GARRETT AND DUNNER **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 1300 I STREET NW 33 WASHINGTON DC 20005-3315 1641 DATE MAILED: 03/20/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.

08/466,921

Applicant(s)

Alizon et al.

Examiner

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1641



ТН	E PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 🗶	expires4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b)	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date or	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appel period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
	piicam	's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>25 Feb 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The p	roposed amendment(s):
	Xw	ill be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	\square w	ill not be entered because:
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NO	TE:
	_	
	-	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): e rejection of claims 62-73 as set forth in paragraph 7 of the last Office action (Paper No. 29).
	<u>th</u>	e rejection of claims 62-73 as set forth in paragraph 7 of the last Office action (Paper No. 29).
	Newl separ	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because:
	Newl separ The a for al	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: Cants' response fails to overcome the rejection of claims 62-73 as set forth in paragraphs 5 and 6 of the last
X	Newl separ The a for al applic	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: Cants' response fails to overcome the rejection of claims 62-73 as set forth in paragraphs 5 and 6 of the last be action (Paper No. 29). Applicants' arguments have been carefully considered but are deemed (see Other, below)
X	Newl separ The a for al applic Office. The a the E	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Inflidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: It is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by each of the last of the la
X	Newl separ The a for al applic Office. The a the E	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: Cants' response fails to overcome the rejection of claims 62-73 as set forth in paragraphs 5 and 6 of the last be action (Paper No. 29). Applicants' arguments have been carefully considered but are deemed (see Other, below) Infidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
X	Newl separ The a for al applic Office. The a the E	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Inflidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: It is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by each of the last of the la
X	Newl separ The a for all applied Office. The a the E For p Claim Claim	y proposed or amended claims
X	Newl separ The a for all applied Office. The a the E For p Claim Claim	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: Cants' response fails to overcome the rejection of claims 62-73 as set forth in paragraphs 5 and 6 of the last exaction (Paper No. 29). Applicants' arguments have been carefully considered but are deemed (see Other, below) affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by examiner in the final rejection. Surposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Surposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
X	Newl separ The a for al applic Offic. The a the E For p Claim Claim The p	y proposed or amended claims
X X	Newl separ The a for al applic Offic. The a the E For p Claim Claim The p	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: Pants' response fails to overcome the rejection of claims 62-73 as set forth in paragraphs 5 and 6 of the last action (Paper No. 29). Applicants' arguments have been carefully considered but are deemed (see Other, below) affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by xaminer in the final rejection. Surposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Subjected to: Surpose feels of the last of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Subjected to: Surpose feels of the last of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
X	Newl separ The a for al applic Offic. The a the E For p Claim Claim The p Note	y proposed or amended claims